UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,169	06/09/2005	Takeaki Sasaki	1830.1005	1709
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 12/03/200 SEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700		BELL, BRUCE F		
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/538,169	SASAKI ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bruce F. Bell	1795
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Bruce F. Bell</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Mark Henry</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>25 November 2008</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 11, 28 and 34		
Prior art documents discussed: Discussed the prior art of Nara et al in combination with Fung et	ʻal	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand. 	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Bruce F. Bell/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed that Nara et al teaches the catalyst as instantly claimed on a carbon substrate and that Fung et al discloses that it is known to use a catalyst powder carrier with electrocatalyst. An offer was made to incorporate the limitation of claim 28 into claim 11 to put the application in condition for allowance to which the appellant and his applicants declined. Claim 34 was discussed with respect to the claim having a 35 USC 112 - second paragraph problem. The substance of this 112 issue and the rejection of claim 11 as well as others depending on it are shown in the office action accompanying this interview summary..